

Large enclosure experiment (LakeLab in Lake Stechlin) to study effects of browning and nutrient loading on lake plankton: light, nutrients, phytoplankton cell size, and community structure (project MARS-2015)

Version 2024-12-28

DATA

Data Authors

Berger Stella A., Anne Lyche Solheim, Jens C. Nejstgaard, Ute Beyer, Monika Degebrot, Elfie Huth, Marén Lentz, Elke Mach, Uta Mallok, Monika Papke, Armin Penske, Solvig Pinnow, Reingard Roßberg, Michael Sachtleben, Ute Mischke, Birger Skjelbred, Alexis L.N. Guislain, Erik Sperfeld, Darren P. Giling, Sigrid Haande, Andreas Ballot, Andreas Jechow, Lars Ganzert, Hans-Peter Grossart, Mark O. Gessner

Data management

Stella A. Berger, Marén Lentz, Ute Mischke, Anne Lyche Solheim

Contact person

Stella A. Berger (stella.berger@igb-berlin.de)

Data responsibility

Stella A. Berger (stella.berger@igb-berlin.de)

Data origin

Data were collected by IGB

Rights of usage

Access to the data can be requested from the contact person

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Published article

Published in Ecology (Article)

Title

Cell size explains shift in phytoplankton community structure following storm-induced changes in light and nutrients

Authors

Alexis L. N. Guislain, Jens C. Nejstgaard, Jan Köhler, Erik Sperfeld, Ute Mischke, Birger Skjelbred, Hans-Peter Grossart, Anne Lyche Solheim, Mark O. Gessner, Stella A. Berger

Corresponding author

Alexis L. N. Guislain (alexis.guislain@gmail.com)

METHODS

Enclosure experiments: Design and Set-up

An enclosure experiment was carried out between June and July 2015 at the LakeLab, a large enclosure facility deployed in the deep stratified clear-water Lake Stechlin, North-Eastern Germany (Figure 1). A total of 21 enclosures were used, each ca. 20 m deep and 9 m in diameter, enclosing a water volume of ca. 1300 m³ (Giling et al. 2017). A gradient design was chosen to maximize the number of predictor levels, instead of replication at each level (Kreyling et al. 2018, Bergström & Karlsson 2019, Gerhard et al. 2023). This design is well suited to capture non-linear responses of phytoplankton and cyanobacteria to nutrient enrichment (Ptacnik et al. 2008, Carvalho et al. 2013, Lyche Solheim et al. 2024).

The experiment was designed to test for effects of a single heavy rain event by simulating one major initial pulse of nutrients and browning (Figure 2). Seven nutrient levels were fully crossed with three browning levels. The intended concentrations of total phosphorus (TP) covered a broad gradient from oligo-meso- to eutrophic conditions including the critical threshold for cyanobacteria response to nutrient enrichment (Carvalho et al. 2013). An arithmetic progression ($a_n = 18 + n^2$) was applied to select the intended TP concentrations, starting with the lake epilimnion TP (18 µg L⁻¹). Phosphorus (P) and nitrogen (N) were added as orthophosphoric acid (H₃PO₄) and ammonium nitrate (NH₄NO₃). Nitrogen was added to ensure a ratio of bioavailable N to P as in the lake water, which was close to the Redfield ratio (7:1 by mass). Browning was achieved by adding HuminFeed (HF; HuminTech GmbH, Grevenbroich, Germany), a highly soluble natural commercial product that has the advantage of strongly staining water without adding significant amounts of bioavailable carbon or nutrients (Scharnweber et al. 2021). Three browning levels corresponded to browning levels in natural lakes: A) low = clear or oligohumic (<5 mg Pt L⁻¹, no addition of HF); B) medium = mesohumic (67 mg Pt L⁻¹, addition of 5 mg HF L⁻¹); C) high = polyhumic (133 mg Pt L⁻¹, addition of 10 mg HF L⁻¹). The experiment lasted 7 weeks from early June to late July 2015.

***In situ* measurements, sampling and abiotic variables**

The 21 enclosures used in the experiment were equipped with temperature (YSI Inc., Yellow Springs, OH, USA) and PAR (LI-COR Inc., Lincoln, NE, USA) sensors mounted on profilers recording hourly vertical profiles at 0.5 m depth steps (Giling et al. 2017, Lyche Solheim et al. 2024). The light attenuation coefficient was estimated as the slope of the linear regression between ln(PAR) and depth. Depth-integrated water samples from the epilimnion (6-7 m) were collected by hose samplers once a week from 5 June until 21 July 2015. Concentrations of total phosphorus (TP), soluble reactive phosphorus (SRP), total nitrogen (TN), ammonium, nitrite and nitrate were determined by flow injection analysis following ISO procedures (FIASStar 5000, FOSS, Höganäs, Sweden).

Biotic variables

We analyzed phytoplankton species composition and biovolume from epilimnetic water samples (250 mL) taken weekly from 5 June to 21 July and fixed with acidic Lugol's solution. Species were identified and enumerated under an inverted microscope (Nikon Diaphot, Tokyo, Japan, and Leica DMI3000 B, Wetzlar, Germany). Species-specific cell volumes (referred to as cell size below) were estimated from approximations of geometric shapes (European Committee for Standardization 2015). Species-specific biovolumes were then determined as the product of species-specific cell volume and cell abundance. Mesozooplankton were sampled by vertical net tows (90 µm mesh size) from 1.5 m above the sediment to the water surface and preserved in sugar-formalin solution (final concentrations of 50 and 4%, respectively). Biomass of the mesozooplankton species was determined by identifying, counting and sizing specimens under an inverted microscope. Biomass was calculated based on length measurements of 10-30 specimens per taxon and length-dry mass relationships established for Lake Stechlin and other populations (Bottrell et al. 1976, Kasprzak 1983). Biomass carbon was assumed to be 50% of dry mass (Winberg 1971).

LakeLab enclosure facility



Figure 1 | LakeLab enclosure facility deployed in the deep stratified clear-water Lake Stechlin, North-Eastern Germany (Photo: Peter Casper, IGB).



Figure 2 | LakeLab enclosures showing brown and clear treatments during the experiments (Photo: Jens C. Nejtgaard, IGB).

PARAMETERS

Data Figure1

Temporal dynamics of the light attenuation coefficient (a-c) and mean PAR during daytime (d-f), SRP (g-i), DIN (j-l) and mesozooplankton biomass (m-o) in enclosures receiving no (A: 0 mg HF L⁻¹; left column: a, d, g, j, m), intermediate (B: 5 mg HF L⁻¹; middle column: b, e, h, k, n) or high (C: 10 mg HF L⁻¹; right column: c, f, i, l, o) levels of cDOM in the form of HuminFeed (HF). The experimental P enrichment (addition of 0, 1, 4, 9, 16, 25 and 36 µg P L⁻¹) is represented by the color gradient from dark blue to yellow.

Date (Year, Month, Day in format YYYY-MM-DD)

Treatment_label (A = control, B = low HF, C = high HF combined with the Phosphorus level 1-7 = ambient-high)

HF_level (A = control, B = low HF, C = high HF)

P_level (Phosphorus level, 1-7 = ambient-high)

Attenuation_/m1 (Attenuation coefficient of the Photosynthetic Active Radiation (PAR 400-700 nm), in m⁻¹)

PAR_µmol_/m2_/s1 (mean epilimnion Photosynthetic Active Radiation (PAR 400-700 nm) during daytime, in µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹)

SRP_µg_/L1 (Soluble Reactive Phosphorus, in µg L⁻¹)

DIN_µg_/L1 (Dissolved Inorganic Nitrogen, in µg L⁻¹)

Mesozoo_mg_C_/m3 (mesozooplankton biomass, in mg C m⁻³)

Data Figure2

Cell-size distribution of the relative biovolume of phytoplankton species in enclosures receiving no (A: 0 mg HF L⁻¹; left column: a, d, g, j, m, p); intermediate (B: 5 mg HF L⁻¹; middle column: b, e, h, k, n, q) or high (C: 10 mg HF L⁻¹; right column: c, f, i, l, o, r) levels of cDOM before the simulated storm event on 5 June (a-i) and at the end of the experiment six weeks later, on 21 July (j-r); sum of the relative biovolumes over seven nutrient enrichment levels (addition of 0, 1, 4, 9, 16, 25 and 36 µg P L⁻¹) per browning level (a-c, j-l); Generalized Additive Models (GAMs) for each browning level on logit-transformed relative biovolumes of species within each of seven P enrichment levels (d-f, m-o); means and, in gray, 95% confidence intervals (barely visible) of the first-order derivatives across nutrient levels (g-i, p-r).

Date (Year, Month, Day in format YYYY-MM-DD)

Treatment_label (A = control, B = low HF, C = high HF combined with the Phosphorus level 1-7 = ambient-high)

HF_level (A = control, B = low HF, C = high HF)

P_level (Phosphorus level, 1-7 = ambient-high)

Size_interval (phytoplankton species cell size intervals of 1 log₂ µm³ over the range 1-15 log₂ µm³)

Relative_biovolume (relative biovolumes of species categorized by size intervals of 1 log₂ µm³ within each enclosure at a given date)

Data Figure3

Estimated probability of species occurrences as a function of the interaction between cell-size range (small-celled vs large-celled species) and PAR (a), elapsed time (b) and zooplankton biomass (c) as standardized predictors.

Date (Year, Month, Day in format YYYY-MM-DD)

Treatment_label (A = control, B = low HF, C = high HF combined with the Phosphorus level 1-7 = ambient-high)

Species_code (species observed in the enclosures)

Standard._Day (standardized predictor of day of experiment)

Standard._PAR (standardized predictor of mean PAR in the epilimnion during daytime)

Standard._Mesozoo (standardized predictor of mesozooplankton biomass)

Cluster (small-celled species: cell size ranging between 0-8 $\log_2 \mu\text{m}^3$ or species with moderate cell ranging between size 8-15 $\log_2 \mu\text{m}^3$)

Data Figure4

Predicted relationships between cell size and relative phytoplankton biovolume along gradients of standardized PAR (**a**) and zooplankton biomass (i.e. putative grazing pressure) (**b**). Bands represent 95% confidence intervals. The three lines per plot indicate species with cell sizes of 3, 7 and 11 $\log_2 \mu\text{m}^3$, corresponding to 8, 128 and 2048 μm^3 .

Date (Year, Month, Day in format YYYY-MM-DD)

Treatment_label (A = control, B = low HF, C = high HF combined with the Phosphorus level 1-7 = ambient-high)

Species_Bio_code (species included in the biovolume models, observed at least three times during the experiment, sp_Bio#1 to sp_Bio#120)

Relative_biovolume (relative biovolumes of species within each enclosure i.e. proportion of the total biovolume at a given date)

Standard._PAR (standardized predictor of mean PAR in the epilimnion during daytime)

Standard._Mesozoo (standardized predictor of mesozooplankton biomass)

Standard._log2Size (standardized \log_2 species cell size)

REFERENCES

Bergström, A.-K., & Karlsson, J. (2019). Light and nutrient control phytoplankton biomass responses to global change in northern lakes. *Global Change Biology*, 25(6), 2021-2029. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14623>

Bottrell, H. H., Duncan, A., Gliwicz, Z. M., Grygierek, E., Herzig, A., Hillbricht-Ilkowska, A., Kurasawa, H., Larsson, P., & Weglenska, T. (1976). A review of some problems in zooplankton production studies. *Norwegian Journal of Zoology*, 24, 419–456.

Carvalho, L., McDonald, C., de Hoyos, C., Mischke, U., Phillips, G., Borics, G., . . . Cardoso, A. C. (2013). Sustaining recreational quality of European lakes: Minimizing the health risks from algal blooms through phosphorus control. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 50(2), 315–323. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.12059>

CEN. (2015). EN 16695: Water quality – Guidance on the estimation of phytoplankton biovolume. Brussels, BE: European Committee for Standardization.

Gerhard, M., Koussoroplis, A.-M., Raatz, M., Pansch, C., Fey, S. B., Vajedsamiei, J., . . . Striebel, M. (2023). Environmental variability in aquatic ecosystems: Avenues for future multifactorial experiments.

Limnology and Oceanography Letters, 8(2), 247–266. <https://doi.org/10.1002/lol2.10286>

Giling, D. P., Nejstgaard, J. C., Berger, S. A., Grossart, H.-P., Kirillin, G., Penske, A., Lentz, M., Casper, P., Sareyka, J., & Gessner, M. O. (2017). Thermocline deepening boosts ecosystem metabolism: Evidence from a large-scale lake enclosure experiment simulating a summer storm. *Global Change Biology*, 23, 1448–1462.

Kasprzak, P. (1983). Struktur, Populationsdynamik und Assimilationsleistung des Crustaceenplanktons im oligotrophen Stechlinsee. Dissertation, Universität Dresden.

Kreyling, J., Schweiger, A. H., Bahn, M., Ineson, P., Migliavacca, M., Morel-Journel, T., Riis Christiansen, J., Schtickzelle, N., & Larsen, K. S. (2018). To replicate, or not to replicate – that is the question: How to tackle nonlinear responses in ecological experiments. *Ecology Letters*, 21(11), 1629–1638. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13134>

Lyche Solheim, A., Gundersen, H., Mischke, U., Skjelbred, B., Nejstgaard, J. C., Guislain, A. L. N., Sperfeld, E., Giling, D. P., Haande, S., Ballot, A., Moe, S. J., Stephan, S., Walles, T. J. W., Jechow, A., Minguéz, L., Ganzert, L., Hornick, T., Hansson, T. H., Stratmann, C. N., . . . Berger, S. A. (2024). Lake browning counteracts cyanobacteria responses to nutrients: Evidence from phytoplankton dynamics in large enclosure experiments and comprehensive observational data. *Global Change Biology*, 30(1), e17013. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.17013>

Ptacnik, R., Lepisto, L., Willen, E., Brettum, P., Andersen, T., Rekolainen, S., . . . Carvalho, L. (2008). Quantitative responses of lake phytoplankton to eutrophication in Northern Europe. *Aquatic Ecology*, 42(2), 227–236. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10452-008-9181-z>

Scharnweber, K., Peura, S., Attermeyer, K., Bertilsson, S., Bolender, L., Buck, M., Einarsdóttir, K., Garcia, S. L., Gollnisch, R., Grasset, C., Groeneveld, M., Hawkes, J. A., Lindström, E. S., Manthey, C., Övergaard, R., Rengefors, K., Sedano-Núñez, V. T., Tranvik, L. J., & Székely, A. J. (2021). Comprehensive analysis of chemical and biological problems associated with browning agents used in aquatic studies. *Limnology and Oceanography: Methods*, 19, 818–835.

Winberg, G. G. (1971). Symbols, units and conversion factors in studies of freshwater productivity. The University of Chicago Press, London, UK.